

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,541	12/03/2003	George W. McClurg	1823.082000A	3188
26111 7590 05/14/2007 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005	EXAMINER			
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			MARIAM, DANIEL G	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				<u> </u>
		•		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/725,541	MCCLURG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL G. MARIAM	2624
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. British apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT Contact tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0)3 December 2003.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		•
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		•
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11-16 is/are pending in the day Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan		. H. Francisco
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	` '
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	,	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application _·

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :8/17/04,9/20/04,9/28/06,3/20/06,9/20/06.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The limitation ". . window coordinates. ." recited in line 8 is unclear in light of the recitation ". . rectangular coordinate. ." recited in lines 5-6 of dependent claim 4. Does this mean the window coordinate is different from the rectangular coordinate? If it is, what is the difference? Please clarify.

Since claims 2-5 and 11 directly or indirectly depend on claim 1, they are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph, for the same reason set forth above for claim 1.

2. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 12 recites the limitation ". . capturing the image data in polar form and displaying the captured image. ." How is the captured image viewed in polar form on the displaying system directly? Please clarify.

Since claims 13-16 directly or indirectly depend on claim 12, they are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph, for the same reason set forth above for claim 12.

3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 14 recites the limitation "image conversion system". It is unclear what is been converted by the image conversion system. Please clarify.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,541 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maase, et al (5,528,355) in view of Klingensmith, et al (6,381,350).

With regard to claim 12, as best understood, Maase, et al discloses a system for enerating a display of a print pattern, i.e., palm-print, on a conical platen surface, i.e., non-planar platen surface, an image of the print pattern being captured in a scan made up of a series of radial scan line images along an arcuate path (See for example Figs. 4-6, more particularly Figs. 4 and 5 and their associated text), comprising: a non-planar prism (See for example, item 12, in Fig. 1); a scanning imaging system optically coupled to the non-planar prism for capturing the image data in a polar coordinate system (See for example, item 10, in Fig. 1; and Figs. 4 and 6); a display processing system coupled to the scanning imaging system wherein the display processing system comprises a preview generation module for generating a preview display of the captured print image (See item 86, in Fig. 1; and col. 10, lines 14-19). While Maase, et al obtains each image of the palm-print in a rotational pattern, the imaging system of Maase does not expressly call for capturing the image data in a polar coordinate system. However, capturing an image in a polar coordinate system is well known, as evidenced by However, Klingensmith, et al (See for example, Figures 2-4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having

Application/Control Number: 10/725,541

Art Unit: 2624

ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching as taught by Klingensmith, et al into the system of Maase, et al, if for no other reason than to acquire an image in polar coordinates by radially scanning the image/palm-print image and displaying it for evaluation by a user (See for example, col. 4, lines 25-37).

With regard to claim 13, the system of claim 12 wherein the display processing system further comprises a high resolution display processing module for generating a high resolution display of an area of the preview display selected by a system user (col. 10, lines 19-23 of Maase, et al; and col. 4, lines 31-31-32 of Klingensmith, et al).

With regard to claim 14, the system of claim 13 further comprising an image conversion system (See Fig. 3).

With regard to claim 15, the system of claim 12 wherein the non-planar prism is a conical prism (See for example, 3, line 65 – col. 4, line 2).

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maase, et al in view of Klingensmith, et al as applied to claims 12-15 above, and further in view of (5,467,403).

With regard to claim 16, Maase, et al (as modified by Klingensmith, et al) discloses all of the claimed subject matter as already addressed above in paragaraph 5, and incorporated herein by reference. While the system of Maase, et al (as modified by Klingensmith, et al) broadly discloses wherein the scanning and capturing system is coupled to the display processing system via a data network as shown in Figure 1A, does not expressly call for a network. However, Fishbine, et al (See col. 7, line 62 – col. 8, line 9) teaches this feature. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching as taught by Fishbine, et al into the system of Maase, et al (as modified by Klingensmith, et al), and to do so

Application/Control Number: 10/725,541 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

would at least allow data exchange between the image capture/scanner and locally/remotely located displaying unit.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL G. MARIAM whose telephone number is 571-272-7394. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW BELLA can be reached on 571-272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DANIEL G MARIAM Primary Examiner Art Unit 2624

May 8, 2007